

### Snow from Space

Combined analysis of Snow Water Equivalent from Cosmic Ray Neutron Sensors and Fractional Snow Cover from Sentinel and MODIS

Nora Krebs<sup>1</sup>, Paul Schattan<sup>1</sup>, Sascha Oswald<sup>2</sup>, Martin Schrön<sup>3</sup>, Martin Rutzinger<sup>1</sup>, Johann Stötter<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Geography, University of Innsbruck, Austria

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Environmental Science and Geography, University of Potsdam, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Department of Monitoring and Exploration Technologies, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ Leipzig, Germany

### **Overall Research Goal**

A better understanding of the **Cosmic Ray Neutron Sensing** (CRNS) signal to make **Snow Water Equivalent** (SWE) measurements in heterogeneously distributed snowpacks more reliable.





## Cosmic Ray Neutron Sensing (CRNS)



# Estimating SWE from CRNS

Calibration of the Neutron-to-SWE conversion function



# Is every station suited for SWE measurements with CRNS?

A classification of Europe-wide CRNS stations after Sentinel-2 and MODIS Fractional Snow Cover (FSC) products

### Research sites:

- COSMOS-EU network  $\bigcirc$ (Bogena et al., 2022)
- Moosbeere network  $\bigcirc$

### Approach:







Weisssee

## Sentinel-2 and MODIS Fractional Snow Cover (FSC) Products







### Comparison of Neutron Count Rates and Fractional Snow Cover



## Classification after 'summer' and 'winter' count rates



## Classification after 'summer' and 'winter' count rates



### Comparison of all Europe-wide CRNS stations with Altitude





## Spatial Uncertainty: Effects of Sentinel-2 and MODIS resolutions

Station: Hohe Mut, 20.09.2022

#### Planet (3 m)



False Color Images - R/G/B: NIR/red edge/coastal blue

Sentinel-2 (20 m)



MODIS (500 m)



R/G/B: NIR/Red/Blue



## Spatial Uncertainty

Effects of Sentinel-2 and MODIS resolutions

### **FSC Differences**

- MODIS FSC is generally lower than Sentinel-2 FSC •
- MODIS produces never FSC = 100 % .
- Modis produces **often FSC > 0 %** when Sentinel-2 • FSC = 0%

Differences in FSC may be related to the difference in spatial resolution and FSC computation algorithm





## Quality Uncertainty: Masking of steep slopes

### Station: Hohe Mut (Obergurgl, Austria)

- Station is located on a ridge
- Footprint is devided into **two slopes** with opposing aspects
  - NE-aspect: **cold** shadow side
  - SW-aspect: warm sunny side





# Quality Uncertainty: Masking of slopes

### Melting Season Effect

- Snow remains on **N-exposed slopes**, but slopes are often masked
  - Calculating FSC on remaining pixels would introduce bias ۲
  - Calculating FSC only in ,full high quality pixel scenes' would exclude valuable data

#### Hohe Mut: 20.09.2022



Sentinel-2 True Color Image (10 m)

#### Masked areas in FSC product







yrol

Slope (1 m) section on hillshade

**a** < 20 % **b** < 30% **c** < 40% **b** < 50% **b** > 50%



Sentinel-2 FSC-product quality control (20 m) medium 📃 high 📕 low minimal

Discussion



### Outcomes

# Outlook

- Remote Sensing FSC products can be used to interpret drops in the CRNS signal
- With increasing station altitude the neutron count signal shows a decreasing 'summer range' and a increasing 'winter range'

- Using CRNS to complement and calibrate FSC RS products
- Using RS products, to characterize stations after their suitability for SWE measurements with CRNS



**Challenges** • Extracting the **precise FSC** from RS products is **challenging**, due to masking, product uncertainties and coarse spatial resolution



# Thank you!



